STATEMENT OF OBJECTIONS

EASTERN DISTRICT OF N		V		
In re PAYMENT CARD INTE FEE AND MERCHANT DISC ANTITRUST LITIGATION:	ERCHANGE: COUNT:	No. 05-MD-0	1720 (JG) (JO)	
Statement of Objections				
I am a member of the plaint Interchange Fee and Merch because I operate	iff class in the ca ant Discount An	ase called In re P ititrust Litigation.	ayment Card I am a class member	
Merchant name and addre	ess:			
Merchant Name: Urbana Fuel and Treats, LLC				
Street: 8816 Urbana Church	n Road			
City: Frederick	State: MD)	Zip: 21704	
and I have accepted Visa and/or MasterCard from				
Approximate Date: June,	2012			
until				
"Present," or approximat	e Date: Present			

I object to the settlement in this lawsuit. My reasons for objecting are:

- 1. The proposed settlement does not address Visa's and MasterCard's price-fixing of interchange rates for the banks, the subject of the core claims in the case. The proposed settlement actually validates that practice, enabling Visa and MasterCard to continue to illegally fix fees for the banks that merchants and their customers have no choice but to pay. Our portion of the compensatory relief amounts to only a fraction of what we pay in interchange, and given that Visa and MasterCard can continue to fix interchange, they can recoup the settlement amount by raising interchange rates in the future.
- 2. Instead of addressing the core claims in the case, the settlement merely provides merchants with a limited ability to surcharge Visa and MasterCard credit card transactions that is of little value to us.
- 3. The proposed settlement includes unacceptable obligations, such as requiring us to disclose to customers at the point of sale that we are imposing the surcharge, when in fact the only reason we would charge such fees is the onerous fees set by Visa and MasterCard. The settlement also requires us to disclose to Visa and MasterCard that we are imposing the surcharge, which is an effort to intimidate us.
- 4. The release will not allow me to protect against mistreatment by Visa/MasterCard. It purports to cover all Visa and MasterCard rules and conduct that were in place upon preliminary approval, and all future rules and future conduct that are substantially similar to rules and conduct in place at preliminary approval. These rules are unfair and cause problems for my business.
- 5. Based on the outcome of the settlement, we do not believe the lawyers who negotiated it represented our best interests.

Additional Reasons for Objecting

Please check all that apply:

	We operate in one for following states, CA, CO, CT, FL, KS, ME, MA, NY, OK and TX state(s) which prohibit surcharging of credit card transactions. Because of this law, the principal relief is of no value to us
Ø	We accept American Express transactions. The settlement limits our ability to surcharge Visa and MasterCard credit card transactions because under its proposed terms we can only surcharge Visa and MasterCard transactions if we also surcharge American Express transactions. However, we cannot surcharge American Express transactions under our contract with American Express. Since we cannot realistically drop American Express to avoid this limitation, this is another reason why we cannot take advantage of the surcharging relief in the settlement.

My Information is:		
My Name: First: Surbir	Middle: S.	Last: Kamboj
My position: Dealer/Partner	г	
Name of merchant: Urbana	a Fuel and Treats, LLC	
My address: Street: 8816 Urbana Church	Road	
City: Frederick	State: MD	Zip: 21704
My phone number: 301-694	4-8288	
[If your own lawyer is representant information for my lawyer]		t to the settlement] The
Lawyer's Name: First:	Middle:	Last:
Lawyer's Address: Street:		
City:	State:	Zip:
Lawyer's Phone Number:		
Signature: Subject S. Kamboj (Apr 4, 20	<u>n.60j.</u>	
Email: urbanafuelandtre	eats@gmail.com	Dated: Apr 4, 2013
Printed name: Surbir	Kamboj	
Address: Street: 8816 Urbana Church	n Road	
City: Frederick	State: MD	Zip: 21704